PROJECT TITLE	REACH Improving Water Security for the Poor										
MPACT	Impact Indicator 1		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)	
mproved water security outcomes for poor people	Concrete examples of improvements in water security influenced by	Planned	(a) 0	(a) 0	(a) 100,000 people with	(a) 400,000 people with	(a) 1 million people with	(a) 2 million people with	(a) 3 million people with	(a) Over 5 million with	1
, ,	REACH, considering aspects of and linkages between:		(=)	(-)	improved water security	improved water security	improved water security	improved water security	improved water security	improved water security	
			(b) 0	(b) 1 success story	1	1	1	1	' '	1	
	(1) household water supply				(b) 2 success stories	(b) 3 success stories	(b) 5 success stories	(b) 10 success stories	(b) 15 success stories	(b) 20 success stories	
	(2) water supply for livelihoods										
	(3) national water security for growth and development, and										
	(4) reduced water ecosystem risks:	Achieved									
	(a) That improve water security for # million poor people					S	ource				
	(b) Cumulative # of such success stories from policy to practice, and the impact on poor people										
DUTCOME	Outcome Indicator 1		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)	Assumptions
National and global sector actors improve the way they plan,	Evidence of REACH catalysing change on barriers to water security	Planned	(a) 0	(a) 0	(a) 1	(a) 1	(a) 2	(a) 3	(a) 4	(a) 4	
implement or monitor in order to improve water security for the	for poor women, men and children, in:		# \ 0	0.5.4	4.50	4.50	4 > 0	4 > 0	" > 0	0.5.4	Use of REACH research breaks d
poor at scale for multiple users (including women, children, narginalised; industry, households, farmers; etc.)	(1) national policies and other frameworks, and their implementation		(b) 0	(b) 1	(b) 2	(b) 2	(b) 2	(b) 2	(b) 3	(b) 4	barriers to water security. REACH produces useful, applical
larginalised, industry, nouseriolds, familiers, etc.)	(2) UNICEF & other global sector programming,		(c) 0	(c) 0	(c) 0	(c) 1	(c) 1	(c) 2	(c) 3	(c) 4	scaleable lessons.
	(3) private sector approaches and investments, and		(6) 6	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0)	(6) 1	(0) 2	(0) 0	(6) 4	Sectoral progress not undermine
	(4) research agendas; including in (a) integration of water supply and water resources management (b) understanding the link between water insecurity and poverty,						Evidence of at least one major change in each of (1)-(4) influenced by programme			Evidence of at least three major changes in each of (1)-(4) influenced by programme	disaster, instability or unfavoural economic conditions in observate countries. Improvements in institutional and
	and (c) proof-of-concept of new models, approaches and										individual capacity support better and programming.
	technologies that reduce water security risks.	Achieved								1	That the post-MDG framework me
	tootiiiologioo tiidi roddoo water cocanty note.					S	ource			•	political will continue to favour wa
					nt partners, stakeholder inter		Niledon (2000)	Miles (2000)	Million of (2004)	T (0000)	security.
	Outcome Indicator 2	Diamond	Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016) £1 million	Milestone 2 (2017) £2 million	Milestone 3 (2018) £3 million	Milestone 4 (2019) £5 million	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)	4
	Leveraged funds: New or existing investments influenced by REACH programme in research agendas and implementation	Planned	U	£1 million	£2 millon	£3 millon	£5 Million	£10 million	£20 million	£30 million	
		Achieved									1
						S	ource				1
			GBP invested by global sector actors (UNICEF, private sector, donors, IFIs, etc.) GBP invested by national and local sector actors (government, private sector, NGOs, CBOs, etc.)								
NPUTS (£)	DFID (£)		Govt (£)	Other (£)			Total (£)			DFID SHARE (%)	
# 010 (L)			OUT (L)	Other (L)			Total (L)			DI ID GITARE (70)	
NPUTS (HR)	DFID (FTEs)										
	2.12 (1.24)		-								

OUTPUT 1	Output Indicator 1.1		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)	Assumptions	
Strengthened technical capacity in the design, delivery and	Knowledge sharing events	Planned	(a) 0	(a) 5	(a) 5	(a) 5	(a) 5	(a) 5	(a) 5	(a) 5	Events are well attended by relevant	
monitoring of water security interventions by policy-makers,	(a) # of events (disaggregation by country)		(b) 0	(b) 20	(b) 20	(b) 20	(b) 20	(b) 20	(b) 20	(b) 40	stakeholders. Stakeholders engage with	
practitioners and change makers in Africa and Asia	(b) % of attendees that are women		(c) 0	(c) 30	(c) 40	(c) 50	(c) 50	(c) 70	(c) 70	(c) 80	researchers.	
	(c) % of events that are in developing countries		(d) 0	(d) 5	(d) 20	(d) 20	(d) 25	(d) 25	(d) 30	(d) 30	Women are empowered to attend	
	(d) % of attendees that are young researchers		(e) 0	(e) 20	(e) 25	(e) 30	(e) 35	(e) 40	(e) 45	(e) 50	stakeholder events.	
	(e) % that specifically address gender		(f) O	(f) 1	(f) 2	(f) 3	(f) 4	(f) 5	(f) 6	(f) 25	Research into Action strategy is effecti	
	(f) % that specifically are cross-sector or cross-disciplinary										at ensuring policy briefs reach target audience. That appropriate women	
		Achieved									candidates are available for recruitment	
		Source										
		Records of events and attendees by project management, including training sessions, exchange visits, conference, commissioned research activities, etc.										
											There will be appropriate policy events	
											for presentations at the right time.	
	Output la disease 4.0		Danelina.	Milesters 4 (0040)	M:I 0 (0047)	Mil 2 (2040)	M:It 4 (0040)	Milesters 5 (0000)	Mil t C (0004)	T (0000)		
	Output Indicator 1.2	D) .	Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)		
	Training for researchers	Planned	(a) 0	(a) 2	(a) 10	(a) 20	(a) 30	(a) 45	(a) 60	(a) 70		
	(a) # of years of training for researchers (disaggregated by gender, nationality, experience)		(b) 0	(b) 1	(b) 3	(b) 7	(b) 12	(b) 20	(b) 25	(b) 30	1	
	(b) # of years of training for women researchers	Achieved	(6) 0	(6) 1	(6) 3	(5) 1	(b) 12	(6) 20	(6) 23	(b) 30	╡	
	(=, = = , === 3, daming for nomen resources	Achieved				Source					⊣	
		Facebook and day have disc			- b. d 1 line is - d. s sb - s			- d il		a will also be sentined in the		
			aggregation; will include doct indicator. Includes commissi		g, but not limited to that as y	years or experience on the p	project will provide training a	nd increase employability. Sp	pecific internal training event	s will also be captured in the		
		Source: REACH Project M		orieu research.								
		Source. NEACITI Toject W	anagement office (FWO)									
	Output Indicator 1.3		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)	_	
	Policy and practice briefing materials produced (disaggregated by	Planned	(a) 0	(a) 1	(a) 3	(a) 4	(a) 5	(a) 10	(a) 15	(a) 20	4	
	country, gender, target sector).	i iuiiicu	(a) 3	(a) 1	(4) 0	(α) .	(a) 3	(ω) . σ	(a) 13	(a) 20		
	(a) # produced		(b) 0	(b) 1	(b) 2	(b) 3	(b) 5	(b) 5	(b) 8	(b) 10		
	(b) # that specifically address gender	Achieved					<u> </u>	,	<u> </u>	ì	7	
						Source	•	•	•	•		
		PMO Source										
	Output Indicator 1.4		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)		
	Number of hits/downloads on the REACH website disaggregated by	Planned		0 1,000 hits	2,500 hits	3,500 hits	5,000 hits	10,000 hits	15,000 hits	25,000 hits	7	
	country location (cumulative).			100 downloads	300 downloads	600 downloads	1,000 downloads	2,000 downloads	3,000 downloads	5,000 downloads		
		Achieved			i			i			7	
						Source	• •		• •		=	
						Web page analysis					7	
	Output Indicator 1.5		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)	-	
	Concrete examples of positive interactions between the REACH	Planned		0	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	
	research team and practitioners in UNICEF, government, CSOs and	Achieved				1	1	**			"	
	industry (cumulative)	Acilieveu				Source					⊣	
		PMO										
IMPACT WEIGHTING (%)	Output Indicator 1.6	1 1010	Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)	⊣	
IIII AOT ITEIOTITINO (///)	Proportion of REACH dissemination activities led by	Planned	(a) 0	(a) 10	(a) 15	(a) 20	<u> </u>	(a) 30	(a) 35	(a) 40	₹	
	(a) researchers from developing countries	Fiaillieu	(a) U	(a) 10	(a) 13	(a) 20	(a) 25	(a) 30	(a) 35	(a) 40	1	
	(b) women		(b) 0	(b) 10	(b) 13	(b) 16	(b) 20	(b) 25	(b) 35	(b) 40		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Achieved	1.7 -	1.7 *	1.7 *	1.7	1.7=*	1-7==	1.7 **	1.7		
		,				Source					RISK RATING	
		PMO Source										
		l wo										
INPUTS (£)	DFID (£)		Govt (£)	Other (£)			Total (£)			DFID SHARE (%)	•	
5.5 (2)	5.15(2)		. (L)	J (L)			· • iui (k)			J. ID OHAILE (70)		
INPUTS (HR)	DFID (FTEs)										_	
010 (i.i.)	UTID (1 123)		-									
		1										

UTPUT 2	Output Indicator 2.1		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)	Assumptions	
evelopment of a global science-practitioner partnership which	Concrete evidence of development of demand-led research engaging	Planned		0	3	6	9	10	15	20	20 Publications are read by practi	
ablishes long-term water security observatories, driving and	poor women and men, government and non-governmental	Achieved									That appropriate women cand available for recruitment.	
	organisations, at local and national levels (disaggregated by gender, sectors, countries/regions involved)	Source										
	sectors, countiles/regions involved)	Case studies from REACH team. Disaggregate by sectors for government, CSOs, UNICEF etc. to demonstrate engagement with different agencies and groups, including the poor. Disaggregate commissioned research.										
	Output Indicator 2.2		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)	peer reviewed journals and fre available.	
	Cumulative number of open access peer reviewed articles, and	Planned		0	3	5	10	20	30	45	60	
	working papers published (disaggregation by gender, nationality and	Achieved										
	experience of lead author and co authors)	Source										
		PMO										
	Output Indicator 2.3		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)		
	# of papers in which gender is part of the analysis	Planned		0	3	4	5	8	15	22	30	
		Achieved										
						Source						
		PMO										
	Output Indicator 2.4		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	Milestone 2 (2017)	Milestone 3 (2018)	Milestone 4 (2019)	Milestone 5 (2020)	Milestone 6 (2021)	Target (2022)		
	# of outputs produced rated high in terms of quality and usefulness by the GAP	Planned		0	1	2	5	10	15	22	30	
		Achieved										
		Source										
		РМО										
	Output Indicator 2.5		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)			Milestone 4 (2019)			Target (2022)	1	
	Citation of REACH research in academic literature & policy documents	Planned		0	0	1	5	10	25	50	00	
		Achieved										
		Source										
		Internet searches, PMO									\exists	
	Output Indicator 2.6		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)			Milestone 4 (2019)			Target (2022)		
	Number of requests for information, collaboration or advice from REACH generating a positive response or productive engagement	Planned		0	1	5	10	20	30	40	50	
		Achieved										
		Source										
		PMO										
PACT WEIGHTING (%)	Output Indicator 2.6		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)			Milestone 4 (2019)			Target (2022)		
	Number of MOUs and other agreements with global and national	Planned		0	3	4	5	5	7	10	10	
	practitioners	Achieved										
						Source					RISK RATING	
		РМО										
UTS (£)	DFID (£)		Govt (£)	Other (£)			Total (£)			DFID SHARE (%)		
										. ,		
JTS (HR)	DFID (FTEs)											
()												

OUTPUT 3	Output Indicator 2.1		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)			Milestone 4 (2019)			Target (2022)	Assumptions	
Effective management of the consortium	Functioning of Global Advisory Panel, and Science Board including	Planned	0 grant applications	10 grant applications	30 grant applications	40 grant applications	45 grant applications	50 grant applications	55 grant applications	60 grant applications: 30	Difficulty in identifying and attracting	
	commissioned research	1	0 from Africa and Asia	5 from Africa and Asia	15 from Africa and Asia	20 from Africa and Asia	23 from Africa and Asia	25 from Africa and Asia	30 from Africa and Asia	from Africa and Asia	suitably qualified participants for the	
	# of applications for grants by sector (academia, private, NGO,	1	0 considering gender,	5 considering gender,	15 considering gender,	20 considering gender,	25 considering gender,	30 considering gender,	36 considering gender,	36 considering gender,	GAP.	
	government), region and profile (gender, experience, expertise)	1	marginalisation, exclusion	marginalisation, exclusion	marginalisation, exclusion	marginalisation, exclusion	marginalisation, exclusion	marginalisation, exclusion	marginalisation, exclusion	marginalisation, exclusion.	Research consortia are effective in the	
	# of successful applications that consider gender, marginalisation,	1	3 women on each of the	3 women on each of the	3 women on each of the	3 women on each of the	3 women on each of the	3 women on each of the	3 women on each of the	3 women on each the SB	commissioning and implementation of	
	exclusion	1	SB and GAP	SB and GAP	SB and GAP	SB and GAP	SB and GAP	SB and GAP	SB and GAP	and GAP	research	
	# from developing countries participating in the Global Advisory Panel	1	At least one gender	At least one gender	At least one gender	At least one gender	At least one gender	At least one gender	At least one gender	At least one gender	Applications of good quality are high for	
	and Science Board	1	specialist	specalist	specalist	specalist	specalist	specalist	specalist	specialist on the SB and	the programme;	
	# of women on the Global Advisory Panel and Science Board	1					1			GAP		
	# gender specialists on the Global Advisory Panel and Science Board	1					1					
	Minutes of meetings of Global Advisory Panel and Science Board	Achieved									1	
						Source						
		РМО										
	Output Indicator 2.2	·	Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)	0	0	Milestone 4 (2019)	F	0	Target (2022)		
	Value for money, including	Planned	1	1 success story	2 success stories	3 success stories	3 success stories - to be	5 success stories	8 success stories	10 success stories - to be		
	Success stories of REACH influence on improving value for money in	1					further developed with the			further developed with the		
	DFID and other programmes; Value of key targets within the REACH Programme	1					Value for Money - WASH			Value for Money - WASH		
	• Value of key targets within the REACH Programme	1					Programme			Programme		
		1										
		Achieved									1	
		Source Source										
		Potential methods of measurement include • Narratives of success.										
		Case study VfM analysis of selected interventions in Observatories Unit cost of a journal publication. Weighted cost of making poor water secure (by sector, location, etc).										
		Monitoring cost to ensure poor don't fall back into water insecurity (SDG issue).										
		O&M of observatory by loc										
		 Transformative investment 	nts (high risk/high reward)									
IMPACT WEIGHTING (%)										Tormot (2022)		
IIII AOT TILIOTTING (70)	Output Indicator 2.3		Baseline	Milestone 1 (2016)		!	Milestone 4 (2019)			Target (2022)		
IIII AOT ILLOITING (10)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities	Planned	(a) 0	(a) 3	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)		
ini doi maomino (//)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up	Planned	(a) 0 (b) 0	(a) 3 (b) 6	(a) (b) 6	(a) (b) 6	(a) (b) 6	(a) (b) 6	(a) (b) 6	(a) (b) 6	1	
ACT TECHTING (A)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups	Planned	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £###	(c) £###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £###	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £###		
mm ACT TELOTTING (/a)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved	Planned	(a) 0 (b) 0	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0			(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50%			(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50%		
mm Act Telephine (A)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups	Planned	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is	(c) £###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation		
mm ACT TEIGHTHIO (A)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved		(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0	(c) £###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50%	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50%		
mm Act Telephine (A)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved	Planned Achieved	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is	(c) £###	(c) £### (d) 50%	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation		
mm Act Telephine (A)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved	Achieved	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0 (d) 0	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is positively reviewed	(c) £###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	RISK RATING	
mm Act Telephine (A)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved	Achieved • Effective reporting and au	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0 (d) 0	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is positively reviewed	(c) £###	(c) £### (d) 50%	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	RISK RATING	
mm Act Telephine (A)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved	Achieved - Effective reporting and au - High quality staff recruitm	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0 (d) 0	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is positively reviewed	(c) £###	(c) £### (d) 50%	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	RISK RATING	
mm. Act the different (a)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved	Achieved • Effective reporting and au • High quality staff recruitm • Establish innovative exam	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0 (d) 0 iditing of country programme ent and retention ples of research best practic	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is positively reviewed	(c) £###	(c) £### (d) 50%	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	RISK RATING	
The second secon	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved	Achieved • Effective reporting and au • High quality staff recruitm • Establish innovative exam • Create high demand for n	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0 (d) 0 Iditing of country programme ent and retention piles of research best practic lational and regional proposa	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is positively reviewed	(c) £###	(c) £### (d) 50%	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	RISK RATING	
ACT TECHNIC (A)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved	Achieved • Effective reporting and au • High quality staff recruitm • Establish innovative exam • Create high demand for n • Well-functioning observat	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0 (d) 0 iditing of country programme ent and retention piles of research best practic autional and regional propose ories with strong institutional	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is positively reviewed	(c) £###	(c) £### (d) 50%	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	RISK RATING	
The second secon	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved	Achieved - Effective reporting and au - High quality staff recruitm - Establish innovative exam - Create high demand for n - Well-functioning observat - Progressive increase in pr	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0 (d) 0 iditing of country programme ent and retention ples of research best practic attional and regional proposa ories with strong institutional olicy influence and financial:	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is positively reviewed	(c) £###	(c) £### (d) 50%	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	RISK RATING	
	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved d) % of research projects completed on time	Achieved - Effective reporting and au - High quality staff recruitm - Establish innovative exam - Create high demand for n - Well-functioning observat - Progressive increase in pr	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0 (d) 0 iditing of country programme ent and retention ples of research best practic attional and regional proposationies with strong institutional olicy influence and financial society and enterprise partner	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is positively reviewed s ce als links locally support for work ers in-country	(c) £###	(c) £### (d) 50%	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation based on evidence from	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation based on evidence from	RISK RATING	
INPUTS (£)	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved	Achieved - Effective reporting and au - High quality staff recruitm - Establish innovative exam - Create high demand for n - Well-functioning observat - Progressive increase in pr	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0 (d) 0 iditing of country programme ent and retention ples of research best practic attional and regional proposa ories with strong institutional olicy influence and financial:	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is positively reviewed	(c) £###	(c) £### (d) 50%	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation	RISK RATING	
	Monitoring country research groups and evaluation of activities a) # observatory management groups set up b) # women in observatory management groups c) £ value of commissioned and directed research approved d) % of research projects completed on time	Achieved - Effective reporting and au - High quality staff recruitm - Establish innovative exam - Create high demand for n - Well-functioning observat - Progressive increase in pr	(a) 0 (b) 0 (c) 0 (d) 0 iditing of country programme ent and retention ples of research best practic attional and regional proposationies with strong institutional olicy influence and financial society and enterprise partner	(a) 3 (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 0 External evaluation is positively reviewed s ce als links locally support for work ers in-country	(c) £###	(c) £### (d) 50%	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation based on evidence from	(c) ###	(c) £###	(a) (b) 6 (c) £### (d) 50% Subsequent evaluation based on evidence from	RISK RATING	